IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 251 of 2001

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

KANTILAL KESHAVLAL KAJIWALA

Versus

BIPINBHAI BALDEVBHAI FARKI

Appearance:

MR KV SHELAT for Petitioner

MR DF AMIN for Respondents No. 1-3

MR MB GANDHI for Respondents No. 4-5

MRS TRUSHA M GANDHI for Respondents No. 4-5

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 06/03/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. The learned counsel for the respondents waive service. By consent, the Revision Application is

taken up for final hearing immediately.

Heard the learned counsel for the respective parties. After argument and discussion at the bar, a consensus is arrived at that status quo may be continued till the hearing of the suit and the suit may be expedited. Accordingly, the Revision Application is allowed and the impugned orders are set aside and the status quo which was initially granted by the Trial Court below Exh.5 shall continue till the hearing and final disposal of the suit. Rule made absolute accordingly with no order as to costs. The learned Trial Judge shall give due priority to the disposal of the suit and shall make every endeavour to dispose of the suit at the earliest, preferably on or before 31st July, 2002.

****

hki